

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,  Plaintiff,  v.  HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE COMPANY,  Defendant.	Case No. 2:24-cv-00093-JRG  <b>(LEAD CASE)</b>  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>
VIRTAMOVE, CORP.,  Plaintiff,  v.  INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP.,  Defendant.	Case No. 2:24-CV-00064-JRG  (Member case)  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b>

**SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AGAINST  
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP.**

This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, in which Plaintiff VirtaMove Corp. (collectively, “Plaintiff” or “VirtaMove”) makes the following allegations against Defendant International Business Machines Corp. (collectively, “Defendant” or “IBM”):

**INTRODUCTION AND PARTIES**

1. This complaint arises from Defendant’s unlawful infringement of the following United States patents owned by VirtaMove, each of which generally relate to novel containerization systems and methods: United States Patent Nos. 7,519,814 and 7,784,058

(collectively, the “Asserted Patents”). VirtaMove owns all right, title, and interest in each of the Asserted Patents to file this case.

2. VirtaMove, Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Canada, having its place of business at 110 Didsbury Road, M083, Ottawa, Ontario K2T 0C2. VirtaMove is formerly known as Appzero Software Corp. (“Appzero”), which was established in 2010.

3. VirtaMove is an innovator and pioneer in containerization. At a high level, a container is a portable computing environment. It can hold everything an application needs to run to move it from development to testing to production smoothly. Containerization lowers software and operational costs, using far fewer resources. It provides greater scalability (for example, compared to virtual machines). It provides a lightweight and fast infrastructure to run updates and make changes. It also encapsulates the entire code with its dependencies, libraries, and configuration files, effectively removing errors that can result from traditional configurations.

4. For years, VirtaMove has helped customers repackage, migrate and refactor thousands of important, custom, and packaged Windows Server, Unix Sun Solaris, & Linux applications to modern, secure operating systems, without recoding. VirtaMove’s mission is to move and modernize the world’s server applications to make organizations more successful and secure. VirtaMove has helped companies from many industries achieve modernization success.

5. The use of containerization has been growing rapidly. For instance, one source predicted the application containers market to reach \$2.1 billion in 2019 and \$4.3 billion in 2022—a compound annual growth rate (“CAGR”) of 30%. *See, e.g.,* <https://digiworld.news/news/56020/application-containers-market-to-reach-43-billion-by-2022>. Another source reported the application containers market had a market size of \$5.45 billion in

2024 and estimated it to reach \$19.41 billion in 2029—a CAGR of 28.89%. *See, e.g.*, <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/application-container-market>.

6. Defendant International Business Machines Corp. is a New York corporation. IBM has a principal place of business at One New Orchard Road, Armonk, New York 10504. IBM may also be served with process via its registered agent Amanda Garcia, at 330 North Brand Blvd, Glendale, California 91203.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant in this action because Defendant has committed acts within this District giving rise to this action, and has established minimum contacts with this forum such that the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendant, directly and through subsidiaries or intermediaries, has committed and continue to commit acts of infringement in this District by, among other things, importing, offering to sell, and selling products that infringe the asserted patents.

9. Venue is proper in this District. For example, IBM has a regular and established place of business, including, e.g., at 1700 Summit Avenue, Plano, Texas 75074.

### **ADDITIONAL FACTS**

10. It is reported by 451 Research that:

Since September 2011, IBM Distinguished Engineer Mac Devine has served as the Director and CTO for the SmartCloud portfolio within IBM Global Technology Services (GTS). In practice, that

makes him responsible for SmartCloud's technical strategy and architecture, and for choosing SmartCloud partners. Most recently, Devine has been involved in a partnership between AppZero and IBM to provide application migration services to enterprises as they adopt cloud computing.

Ex. 5 at 1. It is further reported that:

It was Devine who performed the due diligence exercise in 2011 that reviewed IBM's options for migration tools. He narrowed down the options to CohesiveFT and AppZero, and then he introduced the companies to each other. Through the resulting Elastic Enterprise Applications partnership, Cohesive handles networking and automation for Linux, while AppZero offers a Windows virtualized application container. Devine is proud that the partners got an offering up and operational in six weeks.

*Id.* at 3.

11. Additionally, representatives of IBM met with representatives of VirtaMove in 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2021 to discuss and demo AppZero and its technology for either partnership, use, distribution, and/or investment. However, IBM appears to have copied or reverse engineered the AppZero technology. Either IBM would have known, as a result of its "due diligence" and ongoing discussions, that AppZero was patented as early as 2011, or IBM intentionally stayed willfully blind to this fact.

## **COUNT I**

### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,519,814**

12. VirtaMove realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

13. VirtaMove owns all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,519,814 ('814 patent), titled "System for Containerization of Application Sets," issued on April 14, 2009. A true

and correct copy of the '814 Patent is attached as Exhibit 1.

14. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as, e.g., IBM's IBM Cloud Kubernetes Service, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims of the '814 patent, for example:

### Run Kubernetes at enterprise scale

Experience a certified, managed Kubernetes solution, built for creating a cluster of compute hosts to deploy and manage containerized apps on IBM Cloud®. IBM manages the master, freeing you from having to administer the host OS, container runtime and Kubernetes version-update process.

<https://www.ibm.com/products/kubernetes-service>.

15. The infringement of the Asserted Patents is also attributable to Defendant. Defendant and/or users of the Accused Products directs and controls use of the Accused Products to perform acts that result in infringement the Asserted Patents, conditioning benefits on participation in the infringement and establishing the timing and manner of the infringement.

16. Defendant's infringement has been and is willful. Defendant knew of VirtaMove, its products, and at least one of the patents long before this suit was filed and at least as early as 2012. For example, on or about February 2012, in connection with the prosecution of U.S. Patent App. No. 12/146,322 (assigned to IBM), the examiner cited U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0060722 (which issued as the '814 Patent) against IBM. On or about November 26, 2012, in connection with the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 8,893,306 (assigned to IBM), the examiner cited the '814 Patent

against IBM. On or about June 2015, in connection with the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 9,166,865 (assigned to IBM), the examiner cited U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0060722 against IBM. Defendant knew, or should have known, that its conduct amounted to infringement of the '814 patent. Accordingly, Defendant is liable for willful infringement.

17. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims of the '814 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has had knowledge of the '814 patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products at least as early as when this Complaint was filed and/or earlier, as set forth above. Despite this knowledge of the '814 patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '814 patent. Defendant does so, knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '814 patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '814 patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

18. Defendant has also infringed, and continue to infringe, claims of the '814 patent by offering to commercially distribute, commercially distributing, making, and/or importing the Accused Products, which are used in practicing the process, or using the systems, of the patent, and constitute a material part of the invention. Defendant knows the components in the Accused Products to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the patent, not a staple article, and not a commodity of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. Accordingly, Defendant has been, and currently are, contributorily infringing the '814 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

19. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims of the '814 patent. A claim chart comparing an independent claim of the '814 patent to a representative Accused Product, is attached as Exhibit 2, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

20. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured VirtaMove and is liable for infringement of the '814 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

21. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '814 patent, VirtaMove is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. VirtaMove is entitled to past damages under 35 U.S.C. § 287.

## **COUNT II**

### **INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,784,058**

22. VirtaMove realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

23. VirtaMove owns all rights, title, and interest in U.S. Patent No. 7,784,058 ('058 patent), titled "Computing System Having User Mode Critical System Elements as Shared Libraries," issued on August 24, 2010. A true and correct copy of the '058 patent is attached as Exhibit 3.

24. On information and belief, Defendant makes, uses, offers for sale, sells, and/or imports certain products ("Accused Products"), such as, e.g., IBM's IBM Cloud Kubernetes Service, that directly infringe, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, claims of the '058 patent, for example:

## Run Kubernetes at enterprise scale

Experience a certified, managed Kubernetes solution, built for creating a cluster of compute hosts to deploy and manage containerized apps on IBM Cloud®. IBM manages the master, freeing you from having to administer the host OS, container runtime and Kubernetes version-update process.

<https://www.ibm.com/products/kubernetes-service>.

25. The infringement of the Asserted Patents is also attributable to Defendant. Defendant and/or users of the Accused Products directs and controls use of the Accused Products to perform acts that result in infringement the Asserted Patents, conditioning benefits on participation in the infringement and establishing the timing and manner of the infringement.

26. Defendant's infringement has been and is willful. Defendant knew of VirtaMove, its products, and at least one of the patents long before this suit was filed and at least as early as 2015. For example, U.S. Patent No. 9,176,713 (assigned to IBM) issued on November 3, 2015 and identifies the '058 Patent under "References Cited." Additionally, U.S. Patent No. 9,934,055 (assigned to IBM) issued on April 3, 2018 and identifies the '058 Patent under "References Cited." Defendant knew, or should have known, that its conduct amounted to infringement of the '058 patent. Accordingly, Defendant is liable for willful infringement.

27. Defendant also knowingly and intentionally induces infringement of claims of the '058 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Defendant has had knowledge of the '058 patent and the infringing nature of the Accused Products at least as early as when this Complaint was filed. Despite this knowledge of the '058 patent, Defendant continues to actively encourage and



instruct its customers and end users (for example, through user manuals and online instruction materials on its website) to use the Accused Products in ways that directly infringe the '058 patent. Defendant does so knowing and intending that its customers and end users will commit these infringing acts. Defendant also continues to make, use, offer for sale, sell, and/or import the Accused Products, despite its knowledge of the '058 patent, thereby specifically intending for and inducing its customers to infringe the '058 patent through the customers' normal and customary use of the Accused Products.

28. Defendant has also infringed, and continue to infringe, claims of the '058 patent by offering to commercially distribute, commercially distributing, making, and/or importing the Accused Products, which are used in practicing the process, or using the systems, of the patent, and constitute a material part of the invention. Defendant knows the components in the Accused Products to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the patent, not a staple article, and not a commodity of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use. Accordingly, Defendant has been, and currently are, contributorily infringing the '058 patent, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

29. The Accused Products satisfy all claim limitations of claims of the '058 patent. A claim chart comparing an independent claim of the '058 patent to a representative Accused Product, is attached as Exhibit 4, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

30. By making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products, Defendant has injured VirtaMove and are liable for infringement of the '058 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

31. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '058 patent, VirtaMove is entitled to monetary damages in an amount adequate to compensate for Defendant's infringement, but in no

event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court. VirtaMove is entitled to past damages under 35 U.S.C. § 287.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, VirtaMove respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- a. A judgment in favor of VirtaMove that Defendant has infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, each of the Asserted Patents;
- b. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has willfully infringed the '814 and '058 patents;
- c. A permanent injunction prohibiting Defendant from further acts of infringement of each of the '814 and '058 patents;
- d. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to pay VirtaMove its damages, costs, expenses, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of each of the Asserted Patents;
- e. A judgment and order requiring Defendant to provide an accounting and to pay supplemental damages to VirtaMove, including without limitation, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- f. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to VirtaMove its reasonable attorneys' fees against Defendant; and
- g. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

VirtaMove, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: May 29, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie (CA SBN 246953)

[rmirzaie@raklaw.com](mailto:rmirzaie@raklaw.com)

Marc A. Fenster (CA SBN 181067)

[mfenster@raklaw.com](mailto:mfenster@raklaw.com)

Neil A. Rubin (CA SBN 250761)

[nrubin@raklaw.com](mailto:nrubin@raklaw.com)

Amy E. Hayden (CA SBN 287026)

[ahayden@raklaw.com](mailto:ahayden@raklaw.com)

Jacob R. Buczko (CA SBN 269408)

[jbuczko@raklaw.com](mailto:jbuczko@raklaw.com)

James S. Tsuei (CA SBN 285530)

[jtsuei@raklaw.com](mailto:jtsuei@raklaw.com)

James A. Milkey (CA SBN 281283)

[jmilkey@raklaw.com](mailto:jmilkey@raklaw.com)

Christian W. Conkle (CA SBN 306374)

[cconkle@raklaw.com](mailto:cconkle@raklaw.com)

Jonathan Ma (CA SBN 312773)

[jma@raklaw.com](mailto:jma@raklaw.com)

Daniel Kolko (CA SBN 341680)

[dkolko@raklaw.com](mailto:dkolko@raklaw.com)

**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**

12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025

Telephone: (310) 826-7474

Qi (Peter) Tong (TX SBN 24119042)

[ptong@raklaw.com](mailto:ptong@raklaw.com)

**RUSS AUGUST & KABAT**

4925 Greenville Ave., Suite 200

Dallas, TX 75206

Telephone: (310) 826-7474

*Attorneys for Plaintiff VirtaMove, Corp.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on May 29, 2024 a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was electronically filed with the Court and served on all parties of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I certify that on May 28, 2024, I conferred with counsel for IBM, who confirmed that IBM is not opposed to the filing of this Second Amended Complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), which provides, in part, that “a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party’s written consent . . . .”

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie